



EFFECT OF ZINC AND BORON FOLIAR SPRAY ON GROWTH, YIELD, QUALITY AND NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF BROCCOLI HEADS

S. H. Mahmoud, Hanaa A. Abd-Alrahman, Neama M. Marzouk and A. M. M. EL-Tanahy

Department of Vegetable Research, National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt.

Abstract

The present study was carried out to compare different concentrations of zinc and boron foliar spray on broccoli in sandy soil open field. Seven different treatments including control were used in Randomized Block design (RBD). In a field experiment, two concentrations of zinc and boron (100 and 200 ppm from each), mixture of zinc and boron and control were applied as foliar spray to test its effect on different parameters of broccoli plants cv. Centauro. Foliar spray of Mix₂ (mixed zinc+ boron at 200 ppm from each) increased vegetative growth, physical quality and nutritional value of broccoli heads. This treatment achieved the highest primary, secondary and total head yields when compared to all other treatments. However, there were no significant differences between it and Mix₁ (mixed Zn+ B at 100 ppm from each).

Key words : Broccoli, zinc, boron, vegetative growth, physical quality, yield, nutritional value.

Introduction

Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* Plenck), cruciferae, is a widespread international vegetable crop. Its heads are rich with mineral especially K, S, P, Mg (Aboul-Nasr and Ragab, 2000), vitamin A, vitamin C, vitamin B₂, calcium and proteins (Decoteau, 2000; Aires, 2015). Also, it has been appointed as an anti-cancer source by American Cancer Society (Yoldas *et al.*, 2008). Nutritional value, quality and yield of any crop are affected by many factors such as genotype (species, cultivar), soil fertility, soil structure and environmental conditions (Cartea *et al.*, 2008). Plant fertilization is one of the most important factor affecting plant quality (Savci, 2012). The Agricultural practices such as fertilization can highly impact the levels of bioactive components in brassica (Samec *et al.*, 2011 and Aires *et al.*, 2015).

Low soil organic matter contents, nutrients deficiency and unreliable rainfall are problems threaten transplanting in sandy soils (Arisha and Bardisi, 1999; Stewart *et al.*, 2005). Zinc and boron are microelements which required with small quantities play a vital role in plant growth and development (Mohsen *et al.*, 2016). Zinc participates in IAA, chlorophyll, carbohydrate, protein and some enzymes synthesis (Tisdale *et al.*, 1985). Boron plays a

vital role in cell division, sugar translocation, movement of growth regulators within the plant and lignin synthesis (Aparna and Puttaiah, 2012).

This work aims to study the effect of zinc and boron foliar with different concentrations on growth, yield, quality and nutritional value of broccoli heads.

Material and Methods

This study was carried out at the experimental station of the National Research Centre, Beheira Governorate (north of Egypt), during the two winter season of 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 to investigate the response of broccoli to different concentrations of foliar application of zinc and boron. Physical and chemical analysis of soil samples were executed according to Chapman and Pratt (1978) (table 1).

Transplanting

Seeds of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica* Plenck) hybrid Centauro (Takii Co., Japan) were sown in the nursery in foam trays on 5th of October. Forty day-old seedlings were field transplanted, then healthy transplants 40 days age were selected. The area of the experimental plot was 16.8 m² consisted of three rows; each row was 8 m length and 0.7 m width. Broccoli

Table 1 : Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil.

Physical properties							
Sand	Clay	Silt	Texture	Field capacity %	Wilting point %		
90.08	9.26	0.66	Sandy	16.57	5.25		
Chemical analysis							
E.C.M/m	pH	Meq/L					
		Ca	Mg	Na	K	Hco ₂	Cl
1.7	8.2	7.02	0.527	0.982	0.31	1.3	0.566

Table 2 : Effect of different zinc and boron concentrations on vegetative growth of broccoli plants during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

Treatment	Plant length(cm)		Leaves number/plant		Total plant F.w(g/ plant)		Total plant dry matter (%)	
	1 st season	2 nd Season	1 st season	2 nd Season	1 st season	2 nd Season	1 st season	2 nd Season
Control	48.33 ^e	46.67 ^f	13.33 ^e	13.67 ^d	674.27 ^f	775.00 ^g	15.12 ^e	13.49 ^d
Zn ₁	57.67 ^c	60.00 ^{cd}	17.67 ^{bd}	18.00 ^b	823.26 ^{de}	832.12 ^e	17.99 ^{cd}	18.88 ^b
Zn ₂	61.00 ^b	61.33 ^{bc}	19.33 ^{ab}	19.00 ^b	952.83 ^c	937.85 ^c	19.72 ^{bc}	19.21 ^b
B ₁	51.33 ^d	53.67 ^e	16.00 ^d	15.33 ^c	811.93 ^e	801.47 ^f	16.54 ^{de}	15.80 ^c
B ₂	56.33 ^c	57.33 ^d	18.00 ^{bc}	18.33 ^b	873.90 ^d	902.01 ^d	18.76 ^{cd}	19.26 ^b
Mix ₁	62.33 ^b	64.00 ^b	17.00 ^{cd}	19.33 ^b	1029.48 ^b	1015.25 ^b	21.64 ^{ab}	20.23 ^b
Mix ₂	67.00 ^a	67.33 ^a	20.67 ^a	20.67 ^a	1173.28 ^a	1125.02 ^a	22.60 ^a	23.18 ^a

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%. Control, without micronutrients spray; Zn₁, Zn at 100 ppm; Zn₂, Zn at 200ppm; B₁, B at 100 ppm; B₂, B at 200 ppm; Mix₁, Zn+ B at 100 ppm from each; Mix₂, Zn + B at 200 ppm from each.

transplants were sown 0.5 m apart on one side of the irrigation line, one seedling beside every irrigation eye. Ditches of 20 cm width and 20 cm depth were prepared besides every irrigation line. Organic fertilizers (compost was used as a nitrogen source), calcium super phosphate and agricultural sulphur at a rate of 100 Kg per fed were spread through the ditches and covered by sand. Drip irrigation lines were established over the ditches and soil was irrigated continuously three days before transplanting. Needed horticultural practices of growing broccoli were followed. Nitrogen source of mineral fertilizer (ammonium sulfate 21.5% N) at arate of 100 N units/fed. and potassium sulfate (48% K₂O) at a rate of 60 K₂O units/fed were applied through irrigation system all over the growing season and stopped two weeks before harvesting.

Treatments

Experimental plots were formulated in Randomized Block design (RBD) system with three replications. Zinc sulphate was used as a source for zinc, while boric acid was used as source for boron.

1. Control: Without micronutrients spray
2. Zn₁: Zinc at 100 ppm
3. Zn₂: Zinc at 200 ppm
4. B₁: Boron at 100 ppm

5. B₂: Boron at 200 ppm
6- Mix₁: Zinc+ Boron at 100 ppm from each
7- Mix₂: Zinc+ Boron at 200 ppm from each.

Foliar application was applied two times, first was one month after transplanting and the second application was 10-days later.

Measured parameters

Vegetative plant growth : A random sample of three plants were taken at 45 days after planting to measure plant length, number of leaves / plant, total plant fresh weight and dry matter.

Physical quality : Head height, head diameter, number of stalks /head, head fresh and dry weights were recorded.

Total heads yield : All broccoli heads of each plot were harvested at the green mature stage. Primary head yield (main yield of the apical heads), secondary heads yield (side heads yield), the sum was the total heads yield.

Chemical contents

Total nitrogen content was estimated by modified Kjeldahl's methods (Motsara and Roy, 2008). The percentages of phosphorus and potassium in the acid digested samples of broccoli dry heads were determined. Phosphorus was determined colorimetrically by NH₄-

Metavanidate method (Motsara and Roy, 2008). Potassium was flame-photometrically estimated (Motsara and Roy, 2008). As well as the zinc was analyzed by atomic absorption according to the method described in the A.O.A.C. (2000).

Vitamin C contents: Vitamin C contents (mg/100 gmF.w) were determined in heads (fresh weigh basis) according to A.O.A.C. (1990). The content of total phenoles was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteau modified method (Chaovanalikit and Wrolstad, 2004). Total flavonoids content (TFC) of methanolic extracts of broccoli head samples was measured by aluminum chloride colorimetric assay according to Zhishen *et al.* (1999). Total pigments content of primary heads: The total chlorophyll and total carotenoids contents of the apical head tissues were determined in representative fresh heads samples according to Moran (1982). The obtained extracts were measured by spectrophotometer at the wave length of 663, 647 and 470 nm, using N,N-Dimethylformamide as a blank.

Statistical analysis

All data were subjected to statistical analysis using Mstatic (M.S.) software. The comparison among means of the different treatments was determined, as illustrated by Snedecor and Cochran (1982). Means of the treatments were compared by the Least Significant Differences Test at (0.05) level of significance.

Results and Discussion

Effect of micronutrients

A) Vegetative growth : Data in table 2 indicate that all recorded vegetative growth parameters positively responded to micronutrients foliar spray in both seasons. The highest values of vegetative growth expressed as plant length, leaves number, total plant fresh weight and total plant dry matter were recorded by plants when supplied with Mix₂ (zinc+ boron at 200 ppm from each). However, the lowest values were recorded by control.

Vegetative growth enhancement may be due to dual effect of Zinc and boron which are essential for plant growth and have a well known effect on physiological activities. Zinc assist in formation of carbohydrate and chlorophyll. Additionally it helps in tryptophan synthesis which finally produce IAA (Zhi, *et al.*, 2005). Boron plays a role in carbohydrates translocation in plant and also stimulates the activation of certain hormones. These results come along with results obtained by Moniruzzaman *et al.* (2008) and Ain *et al.* (2016) on broccoli.

B) Physical quality and head yield : Data reported in table 3 indicate that plants supplied with Mix₂ (200

Table 3 : Effect of different zinc and boron concentrations on physical quality and yield of broccoli heads during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

Treatment	Head height (cm)		Head diameter (cm)		Number of stalks per head		Head fresh weight (g)		Head dry weight (g/100gm F.w)		Head yields (ton/ feddan)					
	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	Primary		Secondary		Total yields	
											1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season
Control	15.33 ^c	15.67 ^d	13.33 ^d	14.67 ^e	12.67 ^d	11.67 ^e	389.70 ^f	238.90 ^f	10.45 ^e	10.17 ^d	2.23 ^d	2.57 ^d	0.32 ^d	0.41 ^d	2.55 ^e	2.98 ^e
Zn ₁	16.67 ^{bc}	17.00 ^{cd}	16.67 ^c	17.33 ^{cd}	14.33 ^c	14.00 ^{bc}	580.48 ^e	408.01 ^{de}	14.65 ^d	14.08 ^c	3.30 ^c	4.07 ^c	1.31 ^b	1.53 ^b	4.61 ^c	5.60 ^e
Zn ₂	19.00 ^a	19.33 ^{ab}	19.33 ^b	18.67 ^{bc}	15.33 ^b	15.67 ^a	715.77 ^e	528.72 ^c	15.93 ^{bc}	16.18 ^b	3.95 ^b	4.39 ^{bc}	1.38 ^{ab}	1.57 ^{ab}	5.33 ^b	5.96 ^{bc}
B ₁	18.00 ^{ab}	18.33 ^{bc}	15.67 ^c	16.33 ^{de}	11.67 ^e	12.33 ^{de}	533.33 ^e	379.43 ^e	13.88 ^d	14.34 ^d	2.96 ^c	2.63 ^d	0.48 ^{cd}	0.93 ^c	3.45 ^d	3.55 ^d
B ₂	19.33 ^a	19.33 ^{ab}	17.67 ^{bc}	18.00 ^{cd}	12.67 ^d	13.00 ^{cd}	642.39 ^d	488.14 ^{cd}	14.97 ^{cd}	15.94 ^b	3.05 ^c	2.96 ^d	0.68 ^c	1.07 ^c	3.73 ^d	4.03 ^d
Mix ₁	16.00 ^c	17.67 ^{bc}	19.67 ^{ab}	20.33 ^{ab}	15.00 ^{bc}	15.00 ^{ab}	835.69 ^b	697.83 ^b	16.96 ^b	16.43 ^b	4.08 ^{ab}	4.80 ^{ab}	1.47 ^{ab}	1.62 ^{ab}	5.55 ^{ab}	6.43 ^{ab}
Mix ₂	19.33 ^a	21.00 ^a	21.67 ^a	20.67 ^a	16.33 ^a	16.00 ^a	941.61 ^a	953.55 ^a	20.69 ^a	19.90 ^a	4.43 ^a	5.03 ^a	1.55 ^a	1.78 ^a	5.99 ^a	6.81 ^a

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%. Control, without micronutrients spray; Zn₁, Zn at 100 ppm; Zn₂, Zn at 200ppm; B₁, B at 100 ppm; B₂, B at 200 ppm; Mix₁, Zn+B at 100 ppm from each; Mix₂, Zn + B at 200 ppm from each.

Table 4 : Effect of different zinc and boron concentrations on nutritional value of broccoli heads during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

Treatment	N (%)		P (%)		K (%)		Zn (ppm)		Vitamin C (mg/100gm F.w)		Total phenol (mg/gmD.w)		Total flavonoides (mg/gmD.w)		Total chlorophyll (mg/100gm F.w)		Total carotenoids (mg/100gm F.w.)	
	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season
Control	1.97 ^d	2.25 ^f	1.32 ^e	1.32 ^e	2.39 ^e	3.19 ^b	21.13 ^d	21.30 ^e	56.87 ^e	58.27 ^e	7.34 ^d	7.55 ^d	5.25 ^d	5.27 ^c	4.17 ^b	4.17 ^b	3.64 ^e	3.69 ^e
Zn ₁	3.74 ^{bc}	3.70 ^{cd}	2.65 ^e	2.40 ^d	3.19 ^b	3.50 ^e	28.67 ^b	27.83 ^c	69.13 ^{cd}	70.13 ^{cd}	9.53 ^c	9.57 ^c	7.18 ^b	6.90 ^b	6.05 ^d	7.57 ^d	4.00 ^e	3.97 ^b
Zn ₂	3.77 ^b	3.80 ^c	3.59 ^c	3.46 ^c	2.74 ^c	3.73 ^b	30.07 ^{ab}	28.97 ^{bc}	75.45 ^{a-c}	75.20 ^{bc}	10.15 ^c	11.13 ^{a-c}	8.23 ^a	8.12 ^a	6.72 ^c	8.20 ^c	4.10 ^b	4.02 ^b
B ₁	3.56 ^c	3.54 ^e	1.94 ^f	2.36 ^d	2.50 ^{de}	3.35 ^e	23.13 ^c	22.73 ^{de}	64.65 ^d	64.31 ^d	9.56 ^c	9.65 ^c	6.29 ^c	6.96 ^b	5.56 ^f	6.98 ^f	3.90 ^d	3.94 ^b
B ₂	3.62 ^{bc}	3.63 ^{de}	3.37 ^d	3.42 ^c	2.53 ^d	3.39 ^d	23.47 ^c	23.30 ^d	73.65 ^{bc}	71.38 ^{bc}	10.49 ^{bc}	10.29 ^{bc}	7.17 ^b	7.80 ^{ab}	5.86 ^e	7.27 ^e	4.08 ^{bc}	4.01 ^b
Mix ₁	4.27 ^a	4.24 ^b	4.15 ^b	3.95 ^b	3.28 ^b	3.73 ^b	31.40 ^a	29.97 ^{ab}	78.10 ^{ab}	76.81 ^{ab}	11.56 ^{ab}	11.74 ^{ab}	8.25 ^a	8.24 ^a	7.04 ^b	8.51 ^b	4.13 ^{ab}	4.02 ^b
Mix ₂	4.39 ^a	4.39 ^a	4.47 ^a	4.58 ^a	3.53 ^a	3.81 ^a	31.70 ^a	31.33 ^a	82.64 ^a	81.95 ^a	12.36 ^a	11.94 ^a	8.37 ^a	8.45 ^a	7.22 ^a	8.70 ^a	4.20 ^a	4.15 ^a

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%. Control, without micronutrients spray; Zn₁, Zn at 100 ppm; Zn₂, Zn at 200ppm; B₁, B at 100 ppm; B₂, B at 200 ppm; Mix₁, Zn+B at 100 ppm from each; Mix₂, Zn + B at 200 ppm from each.

ppm zinc plus 200 ppm boron) gave the highest head height, head diameter, number of stalks per head and head fresh and dry weights. In addition, primary, secondary and total yields in this treatment were not significantly different from the plants which treated with Mix₁(100 ppm Zn + 100 ppm B). However, the lowest values were recorded by control in both seasons.

The increase in quality and head yields by the application of Mix₂(200 ppm zinc+ 200 ppm boron) or Mix₁ (100 ppm zinc+ 100 ppm boron) may be attributed to the dual effect of zinc and boron on plant metabolism. Consequently, the plants were received the benefit of both elements at the same time. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Varghese and Duraisami (2005) and Zhao (2006).

C) Nutritional value of broccoli heads : It is clear from table 4 that nutritional value of broccoli heads *i.e.* nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc, vitamin C, phenol, flavonoid, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids content were significantly enhanced by zinc and boron application in both seasons. The highest values of the above mentioned parameters were obtained by plants that treated with Mix₂. There are no significant differences detected in zinc, vitamin C, phenol and flavonoid content in broccoli heads between the plants treated with Mix₂ or Mix₁.

The increase in mineral content (N, P K, Zn), vitamin C, phenol, flavonoid, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids content in broccoli heads by zinc+ boron application may be due to the effect of beneficial micronutrients that increased plant growth by improving plant metabolic activities. These results are in accordance with those obtained from Basavaraj (2013) on cauliflower.

References

- A.O.A.C. (1990). Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists.16th Ed., Washington. D. C., U.S.A.
- A.O.A.C. (2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). Official methods of analysis of the association of official analytical chemists: Food Composition; additives; natural contaminate. In : Willian, H. (ed.), *Official methods of analysis of the association of official analytical chemists: Food Composition; additives; natural contaminate*. Vol. II, 17th edition, Washington, D.C. official method 982. 14.
- Aboul-Nasr, M. H. and W. S. M. Ragab (2000). Yield, head quality and nutritional composition of a new late flowering broccoli variety grown under Assiut conditions. *Assiut Journal of Agricultural Science*, **31(1)** : 55-77.
- Ain, Q., A. Gohar, I. Mohammad, A. Manzoor, B. Farzana, Luqman, S. Ammara, I. K. Mohammad and S. Kamran (2016).

- Response of broccoli to foliar application of zinc and boron concentrations. *Pure Appl. Biol.*, **5(4)**: 841-846.
- Aires, A. (2015). Chapter 3; *Brassica composition and food processing*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-404699-3.00003-2>.
- Arisha, H. M. and A. Bradisi (1999). Effect of mineral fertilizers and organic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of potato under sandy soil conditions. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, **26**: 391-405.
- Aparna, H. and E. T. Puttaiah (2012). Residual effect of zinc and boron on growth and yield of french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) -rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cropping system. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, **3(1)**: 167-171.
- Basavaraj, S. (2013). Studies on zinc and boron nutrition on yield, Quality and nutrient uptake in cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.) under northern transition zone of Karnataka. *Master of Science (Agriculture) in SOIL SCIENCE*.
- Cartea, M. E., P. Velasco, S. Obregon, G. Padilla and A. de Haro (2008). Seasonal variation in glucosinolate content in Brassica oleracea crops grown in northwestern Spain. *Phytochemistry*, **68**: 403-410.
- Chaovanalikit, A. and R. E. Wrolstad (2004). Total anthocyanins and total phenolics of fresh and processed cherries and their antioxidant properties. *Journal of Food Science*, **69**: 67-72.
- Chapman, H. D. and P. E. Pratt (1978). *Methods of analysis for soil and waters*. University of California. Dep. of Agric. Sci. USA, pp. 1-309.
- Decoteau, D. R. (2000). *Vegetable Crops*. Upper River Company. New Jersey, U.S.A.
- Mohsen, J. A. Tahereh, S. Naser and D. Shahryar (2016). Impact of foliar application of nano micronutrient fertilizers and titanium dioxide nanoparticles on the growth and yield components of barley under supplemental irrigation. *Actaagricoluriae Slovenica*, **107 (2)**: 265 – 276.
- Moniruzzaman, M., S. N. Mozumder and Islam (2008). Effects of sulfur, boron, zinc and molybdenum on yield and profitability of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. Var. *italic*). *J Agri and Rural Development*, **6(1)**: 55-61.
- Moran, R. (1982). Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N,N-dimethylformamide. *Plant Physiol.*, **69**: 1376-1381.
- Motsara, M. R. and R. N. Roy (2008). Guide to laboratory establishment for plant nutrient analysis. Food and agricultural organisation of the United Nations. *FAO Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulletin*, Rome. 219pp.
- Samec, D., J. Piljac-Zegarac, J. Bogovic, M. Habjanic and K. J. Gruz (2011). Antioxidant potency of white (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*) and Chinese (*Brassica rapa* L. var. *pekinensis* (Lour.)) cabbage: The influence of development stage, cultivar choice and seed selection. *Sci. Hortic.*, **128**: 78-83.
- Savci, S. (2012). An agricultural pollutant: chemical fertilizer. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, **3(1)**: 77-80.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1982). *Statistical Methods*. 7th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, U.S.A.
- Stewart, M. W., W. D. Dibb, E. A. Johnston and J. T. Smyth (2005). The contribution of commercial fertilizer nutrients to food production. *Agronomy Journal*, **97**: 1 –7.
- Tisdale, S. L., W. L. Nelson and J. D. Beaton (1985). *Soil and fertilization* pp: 754.
- Varghese, Annie and V. P. Duraisami (2005). Effect of boron and zinc on yield, uptake and availability of micronutrients on cauliflower. *Madras Agric. J.*, **92 (10-12)**: 618-628.
- Yoldas, F., S. Ceylan, B. Yagmur and N. Mordogan (2008). Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on yield quality and nutrient content in broccoli. *J. Plant Nutr.*, **31**: 1333-1343.
- Zhao, Yong-Hou (2006). Effects of zinc and boron microelement and fertilizer on yield and quality of cabbage. *J. Anhui Agg. Sci.*, **16**: 112.
- Zhi, F, Y. U. Ji-hua, X. E. Jian-ming and S. V. Tir-rong (2005). Effects of Zn on growth of broccoli seedling. *J Gansu Agri. Univ.*, **73(1)**: 70-78.
- Zhishen, J., T. Mengcheng and W. Jianming (1999). The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. *Food Chemistry*, **64**: 555-559.